

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2023 I www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/270,256	03/15/1999	ILYA KLEBANOV	0100.9900440	2265
24228	7590 11/19/2002			
MARKISON & RECKAMP, PC PO BOX 06229 WACKER DR			EXAMINER	
			YANG, RYAN R	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-0229			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SK

			HG			
67 .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/270,256	KLEBANOV, ILYA				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan R Yang	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re	cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE:						
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): <u>2-11,13,17-19</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 21 and 22 we amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s)	ould be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely	filed			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set	or reconsideration has been consecutive Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NO	OT place the			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed: 2-11,13,17-19,21 and 22.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						

10. Other: ____



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For claim 14, the applicant argues Broemmelsie does not disclose the location of the active window is associate with a second video memory. This is not persuasive because by drawing "front object 80 into second frame buffer unit 62b in its coordinates in the same way it was drawn into first frame buffer unit 62a", the two display areas are associated.

MICHAEL RAZAVI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600